

Lower Bounds on the Complexity of MSO_1 Model-Checking

Jan Obdržálek

Joint work with

Robert Ganian Petr Hliněný Alexander Langer
Peter Rossmanith Somnath Sikdar

Theoretical Computer Science,
RWTH Aachen University, Germany

Faculty of Informatics,
Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

GROW, Daejeon, October 28, 2011

Algorithmic Meta Theorems

Theorems that identify *classes* of tractable problems, rather than a few isolated problems.

Examples

- All graph properties expressible in MSO_2 can be decided in linear time on graphs of bounded treewidth [Courcelle, 1990].
- All problems in MAX SNP have constant-factor approximation algorithms [Papadimitriou and Yannakakis, 1991].
- Compact parameterized problems expressible in CMSO admit polynomial kernels on graphs of bounded genus [Bodlaender et al, 2010].

Uses

- Quick way of checking whether a problem admits an algorithm of a particular kind.

Courcelle's Theorem

Theorem (Courcelle, 1990)

Any graph property definable in MSO_2 can be decided in linear time on any class of graphs of bounded treewidth.

MSO_2 – monadic second-order logic with quantification over *sets* of vertices and/or edges

Expressible in MSO_2 : HAMILTONIANCYCLE, VERTEXCOVER, ...

3-COLOURABILITY:

$$\exists V_1, V_2, V_3 \quad \left[\forall v (v \in V_1 \vee v \in V_2 \vee v \in V_3) \wedge \right. \\ \left. \bigwedge_{i=1,2,3} \forall v, w (v \notin V_i \vee w \notin V_i \vee \neg \text{adj}(v, w)) \right]$$

Courcelle's Theorem – Lower Bounds

The theorem may also be stated as:

Theorem (Courcelle, 1990)

Let \mathcal{C} be any class of graphs of bounded tree-width. Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ is decidable in linear time.

$\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ – the MSO_2 model-checking problem on \mathcal{C} :

Given a $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \text{MSO}_2$ check whether $G \models \varphi$.

Questions:

- Are there classes of graphs of unbounded treewidth such that Courcelle's Theorem still holds?
YES! (in XP) [Makowsky and Mariño, 2004]
- How fast must the treewidth grow for Courcelle's theorem to fail?
Poly-logarithmically [Kreutzer and Tazari, 2010]

Courcelle's Theorem – Lower Bounds

The theorem may also be stated as:

Theorem (Courcelle, 1990)

Let \mathcal{C} be any class of graphs of bounded tree-width. Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ is decidable in linear time.

$\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ – the MSO_2 model-checking problem on \mathcal{C} :

Given a $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \text{MSO}_2$ check whether $G \models \varphi$.

Questions:

- Are there classes of graphs of unbounded treewidth such that Courcelle's Theorem still holds?
YES! (in XP) [Makowsky and Mariño, 2004]
- How fast must the treewidth grow for Courcelle's theorem to fail?
Poly-logarithmically [Kreutzer and Tazari, 2010]

Courcelle's Theorem – Lower Bounds

The theorem may also be stated as:

Theorem (Courcelle, 1990)

Let \mathcal{C} be any class of graphs of bounded tree-width. Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ is decidable in linear time.

$\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ – the MSO_2 model-checking problem on \mathcal{C} :

Given a $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \text{MSO}_2$ check whether $G \models \varphi$.

Questions:

- Are there classes of graphs of unbounded treewidth such that Courcelle's Theorem still holds?
YES! (in XP) [Makowsky and Mariño, 2004]
- How fast must the treewidth grow for Courcelle's theorem to fail?
Poly-logarithmically [Kreutzer and Tazari, 2010]

Courcelle's Theorem – Lower Bounds

The theorem may also be stated as:

Theorem (Courcelle, 1990)

Let \mathcal{C} be any class of graphs of bounded tree-width. Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ is decidable in linear time.

$\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ – the MSO_2 model-checking problem on \mathcal{C} :

Given a $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \text{MSO}_2$ check whether $G \models \varphi$.

Questions:

- Are there classes of graphs of unbounded treewidth such that Courcelle's Theorem still holds?
YES! (in XP) [Makowsky and Mariño, 2004]
- How fast must the treewidth grow for Courcelle's theorem to fail?
Poly-logarithmically [Kreutzer and Tazari, 2010]

Courcelle's Theorem – Lower Bounds

The theorem may also be stated as:

Theorem (Courcelle, 1990)

Let \mathcal{C} be any class of graphs of bounded tree-width. Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ is decidable in linear time.

$\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ – the MSO_2 model-checking problem on \mathcal{C} :

Given a $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \text{MSO}_2$ check whether $G \models \varphi$.

Questions:

- Are there classes of graphs of unbounded treewidth such that Courcelle's Theorem still holds?
YES! (in XP) [Makowsky and Mariño, 2004]
- How fast must the treewidth grow for Courcelle's theorem to fail?
Poly-logarithmically [Kreutzer and Tazari, 2010]

Definition (Kreutzer and Tazari, 2010)

The treewidth of a graph class \mathcal{C} is *strongly unbounded by* $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $G_n \in \mathcal{C}$ with

- $f(|G_n|) \leq tw G_n$ *unbounded*
- $n \leq tw G_n \leq n^\gamma$, for some fixed γ *dense*
- G_n can be constructed in time 2^{n^ϵ} , for some fixed $\epsilon < 1$
constructable

strongly unbounded poly-logarithmically:

$$\log^c(|G_n|) \leq tw G_n \text{ for all } c \geq 1$$

Theorem (Kreutzer and Tazari, SODA'10)

Let \mathcal{C} be a graph class with the following properties:

- 1 the treewidth of \mathcal{C} is strongly unbounded poly-logarithmically
- 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under Γ -colourings

Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2\text{-}\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ is not in XP ($|G|^{f(|\varphi|)}$ for any computable f), unless the Exponential-Time Hypothesis (ETH) fails.

Theorem (Kreutzer and Tazari, LICS'10)

Let \mathcal{C} be a graph class with the following properties:

- 1 the treewidth of \mathcal{C} is strongly unbounded poly-logarithmically
- 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under taking subgraphs

Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ is not in XP, unless ETH fails.

Theorem (Kreutzer and Tazari, SODA'10)

Let \mathcal{C} be a graph class with the following properties:

- 1 the treewidth of \mathcal{C} is strongly unbounded poly-logarithmically
- 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under Γ -colourings

Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2\text{-}\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ is not in XP ($|G|^{f(|\varphi|)}$ for any computable f), unless the Exponential-Time Hypothesis (ETH) fails.

Theorem (Kreutzer and Tazari, LICS'10)

Let \mathcal{C} be a graph class with the following properties:

- 1 the treewidth of \mathcal{C} is strongly unbounded poly-logarithmically
- 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under taking subgraphs

Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_2, \mathcal{C})$ is not in XP, unless ETH fails.

Theorem

Assume a (suitable but fixed) finite label set L . Let \mathcal{C} be a graph class with the following properties:

- 1 the tree-width of \mathcal{C} is densely unbounded poly-logarithmically
- 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under taking subgraphs

Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_1\text{-}L, \mathcal{C}^L)$ is not in XP unless the nonuniform Exponential-Time Hypothesis (nonuniform ETH) fails.

MSO_1 – no quantification over sets of edges

$\text{MSO}_1\text{-}L$ – extension of MSO_1 with vertex-label predicates for a finite set of labels L

\mathcal{C}^L – the class of all L -vertex labelled graphs from \mathcal{C}

nonuniform ETH – SAT not in $2^{o(n)}$ with subexponential advice

- 1 Our definition of “densely unbounded” avoids the constructability requirement in the definition of “strongly unbounded”.
We “pay” for this by using a stronger complexity assumption:
Nonuniform ETH.
- 2 *Kreutzer and Tazari*: MSO_2 on unlabelled graphs
Our result: $\text{MSO}_1\text{-L}$.
- 3 Much simpler, streamlined proof.

MSO_1-L is **much weaker** than MSO_2 :

- HAMILTONIANPATH cannot be expressed in MSO_1-L
- Extending [Courcelle, Makowski, Rotics, 2000] from MSO_1 to MSO_2 would mean $EXP=NEXP$

Labels do not matter:

- Many results concerning MSO_1 can be formulated with or without labels.
- Both Courcelle's theorem and [CMR00] can be extended with labels.

- At a high level, our proof technique is similar to Kreutzer and Tazari: a *multi-step reduction from SAT*.
- Proof *shorter* mainly because we do not need to tediously construct a “skeleton” in the class \mathcal{C} suitable for reduction. It comes “for free” from the *oracle advice function* which comes with the nonuniform computing model.
- We *avoid* the need for MSO_2 by using *strong edge colourings* to simulate certain edge-sets inside $\text{MSO}_1\text{-L}$.

High-level Proof Description

Reduce **SAT** to **MC(MSO₂, C)**.

- *Input*: A SAT formula F of length n .
- *Question*: Is F satisfiable?

Reduction

- 1 Construct $G_n \in \mathcal{C}$ of treewidth n^d s.t. $\log^c(|G_n|) < tw\ G_n$ and $c > d$.
 - Strongly poly-logarithmically unbounded.
- 2 Encode F in a subgraph of G_n .
 - Using closure under subgraphs.
- 3 Define an MSO-formula φ (independent of F) s.t. F satisfiable iff $G_n \models \varphi$.
 - Deciding $G_n \models \varphi$ takes time $2^{n^{c/d} \cdot f(|\varphi|)}$, subexponential in $|F|$.

Grid-like graphs (minors)

A pair (G, \mathcal{P}) such that:

- 1 G is the union of all the paths in \mathcal{P} ,
- 2 each path in \mathcal{P} has at least two vertices, and
- 3 the *intersection graph* $I(\mathcal{P})$ of the path collection is bipartite.

Theorem (Reed and Wood, 2008)

Every graph with tree-width at least $c\ell^4 \sqrt{\log \ell}$ contains a subgraph which is grid-like of order ℓ , for some constant c .

Order of a grid-like graph: the maximum integer ℓ such that the intersection graph $I(\mathcal{P})$ contains a K_ℓ -minor

Strong Edge Colourings

An assignment of colours to the edges of a graph such that no path of length three contains the same colour twice.

Theorem (Cranston, 2006)

Every graph of maximum degree 4 has a strong edge-colouring using at most 22 colours. This colouring can be found with a polynomial-time algorithm.

MSO₁ interpretation in {1,3}-regular graphs

Theorem (Ganian et al, 2010)

The MSO₁ theory of all simple graphs has an efficient interpretation in the MSO₁ theory of all simple {1, 3}-regular graphs. Furthermore, this efficient interpretation I can be chosen such that, for every MSO₁ formula ψ , the resulting property ψ^I is invariant under subdivisions of edges.

Our main theorem can be strengthened by taking a stricter assumption:

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a graph class with the following properties:

- 1 the tree-width of \mathcal{C} is densely unbounded poly-logarithmically
- 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under taking subgraphs

Then $\text{MC}(\text{MSO}_1\text{-}L, \mathcal{C}^L)$

for every finite set of labels L such that $|L| = (|\varphi|)$

is not in XP unless

$\text{PH} \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{o(n)})/\text{SUBEXP}$.

This is an extension of *[Ganian et al, 2010]*

Theorem

Let L be a finite set of labels, $|L| \geq 47$. Unless the nonuniform Exponential-Time Hypothesis fails, there exists no *directed width measure* δ satisfying following three properties:

- 1 δ is monotone under taking subdigraphs;
- 2 δ largely surpasses the tree-width of underlying undirected graphs; and
- 3 for all L -vertex-labelled digraphs D and all formulas $\varphi \in \text{MSO}_1\text{-}L$, the problem of deciding whether $D \models \varphi$ is solvable in time $O(|D|^{f(\delta(D), |\varphi|)})$ for some computable f .

Food!