EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF NON-HOMOGENEOUS BVPs FOR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH ONE-DIMENSIONAL \( p \)-LAPLACIAN
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Abstract. Motivated by [Science in China (Ser. A Mathematics) 36 (2006), no. 7, 721–732], this article deals with the following discrete type BVP

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta[\phi(\Delta x(n))] + f(n, x(n+1), \Delta x(n), \Delta x(n+1)) &= 0, \quad n \in [0, N], \\
x(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x(n_i) &= A, \\
x(N+2) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i x(n_i) &= B.
\end{aligned}
\]

The sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of at least three positive solutions of the above multi-point boundary value problem are established by using a new fixed point theorem obtained in [5]. An example is presented to illustrate the main result. It is the purpose of this paper to show that the approach to get positive solutions of BVPs by using multi-fixed-point theorems can be extended to treat nonhomogeneous BVPs. The emphasis is put on the nonlinear term \( f \) involved with the first order delta operator \( \Delta x(n) \).

1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been a large number of papers interested in proving the existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problems (BVPs for short) of the difference equations since these BVPs have extensive applications, see the papers [1-9] and the references therein. We now discuss briefly several of the appropriate papers on the topic.

In the recent paper, the authors in [7] investigated the following BVPs for the third-order nonlinear difference equation

\[
\Delta^3 x(n - 2) = a(n)f(x(n)), \quad n \in [2, T + 2],
\]
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satisfying several kinds of different boundary conditions. The Green functions were constructed and their bounds are evaluated. Some verifiable conditions for the existence of positive solutions of the corresponding BVPs were established.

In paper [12], the discrete BVP of the third-order difference equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta^3 x(t) = f(t, x(t+1)), & t_1 \leq t \leq t_3 - 1, \\
x(t_1) = 0, & \\
a x(t_2) - \beta \Delta^2 x(t_2) = 0, & \\
\gamma x(t_3) + \delta \Delta^3 x(t_3) = 0
\end{cases}
\]

and the eigenvalue problem

\[
\Delta^3 x(t) = \lambda f(t, x(t+1))
\]

with the same boundary conditions, where \(t_1 < t_2 < t_3\) are distinct integers, were discussed. Under various assumptions on \(f\) and \(\lambda\), the existence of positive solutions of both problems were proved by applying a fixed point theorem.

In [5], a new fixed-point theorem of functional type in the cone is established. By using this fixed-point theorem and imposing growth conditions on the nonlinearity, the existence of three positive solutions for the boundary value problem

\[
\begin{cases}
[\phi(x'(t))]' + q(t)f(t, x(t), x'(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\
x(0) = x(1) = 0 \text{ or } x(0) = x'(1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The discrete analogue of above BVP is as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta[\phi(\Delta x(n))] + f(n, x(n), \Delta x(n)) = 0, & n \in [0, T], \\
x(0) = x(T + 2) = 0 \text{ or } x(0) = \Delta x(T + 1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The authors in [2, 8, 15] establish existence results for positive solutions of its special case

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta^2 x(n) + f(n, x(n)) = 0, & n \in [0, T], \\
x(0) = x(T + 2) = 0 \text{ or } x(0) = \Delta x(T + 1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In the known papers, the explicit expressions of the associated Green’s functions are used to get multiple positive solutions of the two point or three point BVPs of difference equations by using the Leggett-Williams type fixed-point theorems, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 18] and the references therein.

It is well known that the following BVP

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta \left(p(n)\Delta x(n-1)\right) + q(n)x(n) = f(n, x(n)), & n \in [1, T], \\
x(0) = A, \\
x(T + 1) = B
\end{cases}
\]

is called generalized discrete BVP of Emden-Fowler equation [17]. Let

\[
F(u_1, \ldots, u_T) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} \int_{0}^{u_k} f(k, s)ds, \quad c(n) = q(n) - p(n) - p(n + 1)
\]
By using the critical point theory, it was proved that BVP(2) has at least one solution if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- \( f_1 : M \) is positive or negative, and \( F \) satisfies that there exist constants \( a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0, R > 0 \) and \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \) such that
  \[
  F(u_1, \ldots, u_T) \leq a_1 \| (u_1, \ldots, u_T) \|^\alpha + a_2 \text{ for } \| (u_1, \ldots, u_T) \| > R;
  \]
- \( f_2 : M \) is no singular and there exists a constant \( c_0 > 0 \) such that \( f(n, x) \leq c_0 \) for every \( n \in [1, T] \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \);
- \( f_3 : F \) satisfies that there exist constants \( a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0, R > 0 \) and \( \beta > 2 \) such that
  \[
  F(u_1, \ldots, u_T) \geq a_1 \| (u_1, \ldots, u_T) \|^\alpha + a_2 \text{ for } \| (u_1, \ldots, u_T) \| \geq R.
  \]

It is easy to see that BVP(2) can be transformed to the following BVP:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^2 x(n-1) &= \left( \frac{p(n) - q(n)}{p(n+1)} - 1 \right) x(n) + \left( 1 - \frac{p(n)}{p(n+1)} \right) x(n-1) + \frac{f(n,x(n))}{p(n+1)}, \quad n \in [1, T], \\
x(0) &= A, \\
x(T + 1) &= B.
\end{align*}
\]

However, in applications, we find that the nonhomogeneous BVP

\[
(*) \quad \begin{align*}
\Delta^2 x(n-1) &= -4, \quad n \in [0, T], \\
x(0) &= A, \\
x(T + 1) &= B
\end{align*}
\]

has unique positive solution

\[
x(n) = \frac{nB + (T + 1 - n)A}{T + 1} + 2Tn - 2(n - 1)n \quad \text{if } A \geq 0 \text{ and } B \geq 0.
\]

While the following nonhomogeneous multi-point BVP

\[
(**) \quad \begin{align*}
\Delta^2 x(n-1) &= -4, \quad n \in [0, T], \quad T > 24, \\
x(0) &= \frac{1}{2} x(30) + A, \\
x(T + 1) &= \frac{1}{2} x(80) + B
\end{align*}
\]
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has unique solution

\[ x(n) = -2(n-1)n + \frac{B - A + 2T^2 + 2T - 5450}{T - 24}n + \frac{2TA - 78A + 30B + 60T^2 - 1680T - 121740}{T - 24}. \]

Since

\[ x(T + 1) = \frac{T A + T B - 79A + 31B + 110T^2 - 7080T - 127190}{T - 24}, \]

we find that BVP(\( \ast \ast \)) has no positive solution if

\[ A \geq 0, B \geq 0, TA + TB - 79A + 31B + 110T^2 - 7080T - 127190 < 0. \]

To the best of knowledge, there exists no paper discussed the existence of multiple positive solutions of multi-point BVPs of \( p \)-Laplacian difference equations whose nonlinear term is dependent on \( \Delta x(n + 1) \). To apply fixed point theorem in cones in Banach spaces, the difficult to study this kind of BVP comes from that it is not easy to establish the associated Green’s functions. To use critical point theory to get solutions of this kind of BVP comes from that it is not easy to construct the functionals.

Motivated by the reason and papers mentioned above, the purpose of this paper is to investigate the more generalized BVPs for the second order \( p \)-Laplacian difference equation with multi-point BCs, i.e., the BVP

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta [\phi (\Delta x(n))] + f(n, x(n + 1), \Delta x(n), \Delta x(n + 1)) &= 0, \quad n \in [0, N], \\
x(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x(n_i) &= A, \\
x(N + 2) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i x(n_i) &= B,
\end{align*}
\]

where

- \( N > 1 \) an integer, \( 0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_m < N + 2 \);
- \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0 \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), \( A \geq 0, B \geq 0 \);
- \( f \) is continuous and positive;
- \( \phi \) is called \( p \)-Laplacian, \( \phi(x) = |x|^{p-2}x \) for \( x \neq 0 \) and \( \phi(0) = 0 \) with \( p > 1 \), its inverse function is denoted by \( \phi^{-1}(x) \) with \( \phi^{-1}(x) = |x|^{q-2}x \) for \( x \neq 0 \) and \( \phi^{-1}(0) = 0 \) with \( 1/p + 1/q = 1 \);
- \( \sum_{i=a}^{b} x(i) = 0 \) if \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( b < a \), where \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the integer set, denote \( [a, b] = \{a, a+1, \ldots, b\} \) for \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( a \leq b \).

We establish sufficient conditions for the existence of at least three positive solutions of BVP(3). The methods and the results in this paper, which are not based upon the Green’s functions, are different from those in known papers.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: to get the main results, in Section 2, we first give eleven lemmas, then Theorem L1 and Theorem L2 and their proofs are presented at the end of this section, and an example is given in Section 3 to illustrate the main results.
2. Main results

In this section, we first present some background definitions in Banach spaces and state the important three fixed point theorem and lemmas. Then the main results are given and proved.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a semi-ordered real Banach space. The nonempty convex closed subset $P$ of $X$ is called a cone in $X$ if
(i) $ax \in P$ for all $x \in P$ and $a \geq 0$;
(ii) $x \in X$ and $-x \in X$ imply $x = 0$.

Definition 2.2. A map $\psi : P \to [0, +\infty)$ is a nonnegative continuous concave or convex functional map provided $\psi$ is nonnegative, continuous and satisfies
$$\psi(tx + (1-t)y) \geq t \psi(x) + (1-t)\psi(y),$$
or
$$\psi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq t \psi(x) + (1-t)\psi(y)$$
for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3. An operator $T : X \to X$ is completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into pre-compact sets.

Definition 2.4. Let $r > a > 0, L > 0$ be positive constants, $\psi$ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on the cone $P$, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two nonnegative continuous convex functional on the cone $P$. Define the convex sets as follows:
$$P(a; r; \beta, L) = \{x \in P : \alpha(x) < r, \beta(x) < L\},$$
$$\overline{P}(a; r; \beta, L) = \{x \in P : \alpha(x) \leq r, \beta(x) \leq L\},$$
$$P(a; r; \beta, L; \psi, a) = \{x \in P : \alpha(x) < r, \beta(x) < L, \psi(x) > a\},$$
$$\overline{P}(a; r; \beta, L; \psi, a) = \{x \in P : \alpha(x) \leq r, \beta(x) \leq L, \psi(x) \geq a\}.$$

Suppose that the nonnegative continuous convex functional on the cone $P$ $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy the followings:
(a) there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq \max\{\alpha(x), \beta(x)\}M$ for all $x \in P$;
(b) $P(a; r; \beta, L) \neq \emptyset$ for each $r > 0, L > 0$.

Next, we state fixed-point theorems.

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space, $P$ be a cone in $X$, $r_2 > d > b > r_1 > 0, L_2 > L_1 > 0$ be constants, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two nonnegative continuous convex functional on the cone $P$ satisfying (a) and (b), $\psi$ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on the cone $P$ with $\psi(x) \leq \alpha(x)$ for each $x \in \overline{P}(a; r_2; \beta, L_2)$. Let $T : \overline{P}(a; r_2; \beta, L_2) \to \overline{P}(a; r_2; \beta, L_2)$ be a completely continuous operator. Suppose
\begin{enumerate}[(C_1)]
\item $\{y \in \overline{P}(a; d; \beta, L_2; \psi, b) : \psi(y) > b\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\psi(Ty) > b$ for every $y \in \overline{P}(a; d; \beta, L_2; \psi, b)$;
\item $\alpha(Ty) < r_1$ and $\beta(Ty) < L_1$ for every $y \in \overline{P}(a; r_1; \beta, L_1)$;
\end{enumerate}
For \( x \) where \( \sigma \) it is easy to see that \( T \) has at least three fixed points \( y_1, y_2 \) and \( y_3 \) such that
\[
y_1 \in P(\alpha, r_1; \beta, L_1),
\]
\[
y_2 \in \{ y \in P(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b), \psi(y) > b \},
\]
\[
y_3 \in P(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2) \setminus [ P(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b) \cup P(\alpha, r_1; \beta, L_1) ].
\]

The following assumptions, which will be used in the proofs of all lemmas, are supposed.

\((H_1)\) \( f : [0, N] \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to (0, +\infty) \) is continuous.

\((H_2)\) \( A \geq 0, B \geq 0, \alpha_i \geq 0, \beta_i \geq 0 \) satisfy \( \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i < 1 \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \leq 1 \) with
\[
B \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \right) \neq A \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \right).
\]

Choose \( X = \mathbb{R}^{N+3} \). We call \( \text{x} \leq \text{y} \) for \( x, y \in X \) if \( x(n) \leq y(n) \) for all \( n \in [0, N + 2] \), define the norm
\[
||x|| = \max \left\{ \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} |x(n)|, \max_{n \in [0, N + 1]} |\Delta x(n)| \right\}.
\]

It is easy to see that \( X \) is a semi-ordered real Banach space.

Choose
\[
P = \left\{ x \in X : \Delta^2 x(n) \leq 0 \text{ for } n \in [0, N + 1], \quad \left( x(0) - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x(n_i) \right) B = \left( x(N + 2) - \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i x(n_i) \right) A \right\},
\]

where \( \sigma = \min \left\{ \frac{n}{N+2}, \frac{N+2-n}{N+2} \right\} \). Then \( P \) is a cone in \( X \).

Choose \( \left\lfloor \frac{N+2}{3} \right\rfloor > k > 0 \), where \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) denotes the integer not greater than \( x \).

Define the functionals on \( P : P \to [0, +\infty) \) by
\[
\alpha(x) = \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} |x(n)|,
\]
\[
\beta(x) = \max_{n \in [0, N + 1]} |\Delta x(n)|,
\]
and
\[
\psi(x) = \min_{t \in [k, N + 2 - k]} |x(n)|.
\]

For \( x \in P \), we have that
\[
|x(0)| \leq \frac{\beta(N + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x(n_i) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i x(n_i) - \left( \frac{1}{4} + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \right) x(0)}{1 - \frac{1}{4} - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\beta^{N+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - \frac{1}{4} \beta_i| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\Delta x(s)|}{1 - \frac{1}{4} - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i}.
\]
Suppose that

It follows that

Then

So there exists a constant \( M > 0 \) such that \( ||x|| \leq \max \{ \alpha(x), \beta(x) \} \) for all \( x \in P \). It is easy to see that \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are two nonnegative continuous convex functional on the cone \( P \) satisfying (a) and (b) and \( \psi \) is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on the cone \( P \) with \( \psi(x) \leq \alpha(x) \) for each \( x \in P \).

For \( x \in P \), consider the following BVP

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta [\phi(\Delta y(n))] + f(n, x(n + 1), \Delta x(n), \Delta x(n + 1)) &= 0, \quad n \in [0, N], \\
y(0) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j y(n_j) &= A, \\
y(N + 2) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j y(n_j) &= B.
\end{aligned}
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that

\( (H_3) \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} A - B > 0 \), there exists a sequence \( q \) such that \( f(n, x, u, v) \geq q(n) \) for all \( n \in [0, N], \ x, u, v \in \mathbb{R} \) and

\[
\begin{aligned}
-1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \left( \sum_{j=i}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} q(s) \right) \right) - \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} q(s) \right)
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=i}^{n} \beta_i \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} q(s) \right) + \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} A - B < 0;
\end{aligned}
\]

Furthermore, suppose that \( (H_1) \) and \( (H_2) \) hold. If \( y \) is a solution of BVP (4), then there exists unique \( n_0 \in [0, N] \) such that \( \Delta y(n_0) > 0 \) and \( \Delta y(n_0 + 1) \leq 0 \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( y \) satisfies (4). It follows from (4) that

\[
\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad y(n) &= y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right).
\end{aligned}
\]

The BCs in (4) imply that

\[
\begin{aligned}
y(0) &= y(0) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right) + A
\end{aligned}
\]
and

\[ y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \]

\[ = y(0) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + B. \]

It follows that

\[ y(0) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \right) \]

and

\[ \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \right) \]

\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + B. \]

Similarly, we can get that

\[ (6) \quad y(n) = y(N+2) - \sum_{i=n+1}^{N} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(N+1)) + \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right). \]

The BCs in (4) imply that

\[ y(N+2) \]

\[ = x(N+2) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(N+1)) + \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + B, \]

and

\[ y(N+2) - \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(N+1)) + \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \]

\[ = y(N+2) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(N+1)) + \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A. \]

Then

\[ \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(N+1)) + \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \right) \]

\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(N+1)) + \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \]
implies that One sees from $(F(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi^{-1} \left( c - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A) + B.$

**Step 1.** Prove that $\phi(\Delta y(0)) \geq 0$. In fact, let

$$F(c) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( c - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \right) + B.$$

Then $F(\phi(\Delta y(0))) = 0$ and

$$F(c) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( c - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \right) + B.$$

One sees from $(H_1)$ and $(H_2)$ that $F(c)$ is increasing on $(-\infty, +\infty)$. $(H_1)$ implies that

$$F(0) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \right) - \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=n_i}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} A - B$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} q(s) \right) \right) - \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right) \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} q(s) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=n_i}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} q(s) \right) + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} A - B < 0.$$
It follows that
\[
\frac{F(c)}{c} = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \right)
\]
\[
+ \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right) \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=n_i}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) - B \cdot \frac{1}{c}.
\]
Note that \( f \) is continuous, let Denote
\[
L_1 = \max \left\{ \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} |x(n)|, \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} |\Delta x(n)| : x \in \mathcal{D} \right\}
\]
and
\[
L_2 = \max_{j \in [0, N]} \left[ f_L(j) = \max_{j \in [0, N]} \max_{x, y, w} \left| f(j, x, y, w) \right| \right].
\]
Then
\[
\lim_{c \to +\infty} \left| \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1))}{\phi(c)} \right| = 0, \quad i \in [0, N+1],
\]
\[
\lim_{c \to +\infty} \frac{B}{c} = \lim_{c \to +\infty} \frac{A}{c} = 0.
\]
Hence
\[
\lim_{c \to +\infty} \frac{F(c)}{c} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i n_i + \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right) (N + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i (N + 2 - n_i) > 0.
\]
Then, together with \( F(0) < 0 \) and \( F \) is increasing on \((-\infty, +\infty)\), it follows that there exists unique \( A_x \in (0, +\infty) \) such that \( F(A_x) = 0 \). Since \( F(\phi(\Delta y(0))) = 0 \), we get that \( \phi(\Delta y(0)) = A_x \in (0, +\infty) \). It follows that \( \Delta y(0) > 0 \).

**Step 2.** Prove that \( \phi(\Delta y(N+1)) \leq 0 \). In fact, let
\[
G(c) = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \right)
\]
\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=n_i}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) - B
\]
\[
= \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \right)
\]
\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=n_i}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) - B.
\]
Suppose that $D$. It is easy to see that and exists unique $BVP$ $D$. Proof.

Lemma 2.3. Similar to Step 1, we have that

$$G(0) = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left[ \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \right) \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=i+1}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{m} \phi^{-1} \left( c + \sum_{s=j+1}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=n_i}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( c + \sum_{s=j+1}^{N} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right)$$

$$- B.$$ 

and

$$\lim_{c \to -\infty} \frac{G(c)}{c} = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left[ \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \right) (N + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right]$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (N + 2 - n_i) > 0.$$ 

It is easy to see that $G(c)$ is increasing on $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Then there exists unique $D_x \leq 0$ such that $G(D_x) = 0$. Since $G(\phi(\Delta y(N + 1))) = 0$, we get that $D_x = \phi(\Delta y(N + 1))$. Then $\Delta y(N + 1) \leq 0$.

It follows from Step 1 and Step 2 and the fact that $\Delta y(n)$ is decreasing on $[0, N + 1]$ that there exists unique $n_0 \in [0, N]$ such that $\Delta y(n_0) > 0$ and $\Delta y(n_0 + 1) \leq 0$.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $(H_1)$, $(H_2)$ and $(H_3)$ hold. If $y$ is a solution of $BVP(4)$, then $y(0) \geq 0$, $y(N + 2) \geq 0$ and $y(n) > 0$ for all $n \in [1, N + 1]$.

Proof. Since $(H_1)$, $(H_2)$ and $(H_3)$ hold, we get from Lemma 2.2 that there exists unique $n_0 \in [0, N]$ such that $\Delta y(n_0) > 0$ and $\Delta y(n_0 + 1) \leq 0$. It follows from the equation (4) that

$$\phi(\Delta y(n)) = \begin{cases} 
\phi(\Delta y(n_0 + 1)) - \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n-1} f(k, x(k+1), \Delta x(k), \Delta x(k+1)), & n \in [n_0 + 1, N + 1], \\
\phi(\Delta y(n_0)) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{n_0-1} f(k, x(k+1), \Delta x(k), \Delta x(k+1)), & n \in [0, n_0]. 
\end{cases}$$
So
\[ y(n) = \begin{cases} 
  y(N + 2) + \sum_{i=n}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( -\phi(\Delta y(n_0 + 1)) + \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right), \\
  y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(n_0)) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right), \\
  n \in [n_0 + 1, N + 2], \\
  n \in [0, n_0 + 1] 
\end{cases} \]

with
\[ y(n_0 + 1) = y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(n_0)) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right) \]
\[ = y(N + 2) + \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( -\phi(\Delta y(n_0 + 1)) + \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right) \].

It follows from (H1), (H2) and Lemma 2.2 that
\[ y(n) \geq \begin{cases} 
  y(N + 2), & n \in [n_0 + 1, N + 2], \\
  y(0), & n \in [0, n_0 + 1]. 
\end{cases} \]

So \( y(n) > \min\{y(0), y(N + 2)\} \) for all \( n \in [0, N + 2] \). From BCs in BVP(4), we see that
\[ y(0) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \{y(0), y(N + 2)\} + A \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \{y(0), y(N + 2)\}, \]
\[ y(N + 2) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \min\{y(0), y(N + 2)\} + B \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \min\{y(0), y(N + 2)\}. \]

Then
\[ \min\{y(0), y(N + 2)\} \geq \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right\} \min\{y(0), y(N + 2)\}, \]
that is,
\[ \left( 1 - \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right\} \right) \min\{y(0), y(N + 2)\} \geq 0. \]

It follow from (H2) that \( \min\{y(0), y(N + 2)\} \geq 0 \). Hence \( y(n) > 0 \) for all \( n \in [1, N + 1] \). \( \Box \)
Lemma 2.4. Suppose that \((H_1), (H_2)\) and \((H_3)\) hold. If \(y\) is a solution of \(BVP(4)\), then

\[
x(n) \geq \sigma \max_{n=0}^{N+2} |x(n)| \text{ for all } n \in [1, N+1], \quad \sigma = \min \left\{ \frac{n}{N+2}, \frac{N+2-n}{N+2} \right\}. \tag{7}
\]

Proof. It follow from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 that \(y(n) \geq 0\) for \(n \in [0, N+2]\). Suppose that \(y(n_0) = \max\{y(n) : n \in [0, N+2]\}\). Since \(\Delta y(0) > 0\) and \(\Delta y(N+1) \leq 0\), we get that \(n_0 \in [1, N+1]\). For \(n \in [1, n_0]\), it is easy to see that

\[
\frac{y(n_0) - x(0)}{n_0} n + y(0) - y(n)
= \frac{n}{n_0} \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \Delta y(s) - n_0 \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \Delta y(s)
= \frac{- (n_0 - n) \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \Delta y(s)}{n_0} + n \sum_{s=n}^{n_0-1} \Delta y(s).
\]

Since \(\Delta [\phi(\Delta y(n))] = -f(n, x(n), x(n+1), \Delta x(n), \Delta x(n+1)) < 0\) for all \(n \in [0, N]\), we get that \(\Delta y(s) \leq \Delta y(j)\) for all \(s \geq j\). Then \((n_0 - n) \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \Delta y(s) \leq n \sum_{s=n}^{n_0-1} \Delta y(s)\). It follows that \(\frac{y(n_0)-y(0)}{n_0} n + y(0) - y(n) \leq 0\). Then

\[
y(n) \geq \frac{n}{n_0} y(n_0) + \left(1 - \frac{n}{n_0}\right) y(0) \geq \frac{n}{N+2} \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} y(n) \text{ for all } n \in [1, n_0].
\]

Similarly, if \(n \in [n_0, N+1]\), we get

\[
y(n) \geq \frac{N+2-n}{n_0} \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} y(n) \text{ for all } n \in [n_0, N+1].
\]

Then

\[
y(n) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{n}{N+2}, \frac{N+2-n}{N+2} \right\} \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} y(n) \text{ for all } n \in [0, N+2]. \tag{8}
\]

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that \((H_1), (H_2)\) and \((H_3)\) hold. If \(y\) is a solution of \(BVP(4)\), then

\[
y(n) = B x + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A x - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right),
\]

where \(A x\) satisfies

\[
\frac{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A x - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \]
\[
+ \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( A x - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right)
\]
Since it follows from the BCs in (4) that

\[ B \]

and \( B \) satisfies

\[ B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} + A. \]

**Proof.** Since \( y \) is a solution of BVP(4), we get

\[ \phi(\Delta y(n)) = \phi(\Delta x(0)) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(k, x(k+1), \Delta x(k), \Delta x(k+1)). \]

Then

\[ y(n) = y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta x(0)) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right). \]

It follows from the BCs in (4) that

\[ y(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left( y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \right) + A \]

and

\[ y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \left( y(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \right) + B. \]

Then

\[ \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) + A \right) \right] + B \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) + B \right) \]

\[ - \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \phi(\Delta y(0)) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right). \]
It follows from Step 1 in the proof of Lemma 2.2 that \( \phi(\Delta y(0)) = A_x \) and \( A_x > 0 \) satisfies

\[
1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) - B = 0
\]

and \( B_x \) satisfies (9).

**Lemma 2.6.** Suppose that \((H_1), (H_2)\) and \((H_3)\) hold. If \( y \) is a solution of BVP(4), then there exists a \( n_0 \in [0, N] \) such that

\[
\max_{n \in [0, N+1]} y(n) = y(n_0 + 1)
\]

\[
\geq \max \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=i}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right), \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there is \( n_0 \in [0, N] \) such that \( \Delta y(n_0) > 0 \) and \( \Delta y(n_0+1) \leq 0 \). \( \Delta y(n) > 0 \) for all \( n \in [0, n_0] \) and \( \Delta y(n) \leq 0 \) for all \( n \in [n_0+1, N+1] \). Then

\[
\max_{n \in [0, N+1]} y(n) = y(n_0 + 1),
\]

and there exists \( \xi \in (n_0, n_0 + 1] \) such that

\[
\frac{\Delta y(n_0 + 1) - \Delta y(n_0)}{n_0 + 1 - n_0} = \frac{0 - \Delta y(n_0)}{\xi - n_0}.
\]

Then

\[
\Delta x(n_0 + 1) = -\frac{n_0 + 1 - \xi}{\xi - n_0} \Delta x(n_0).
\]

(10)

It is easy to see from (4) that

\[
0 < \Delta y(n_0) = \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right),
\]

\[
0 \geq \Delta y(n_0 + 1) = \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right),
\]
where $A_x = \phi(\Delta y(0))$. So (10) implies that
\[
\phi^{-1}\left( A_x - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right) = - \frac{n_0 + 1 - \xi}{\xi - n_0} \phi^{-1}\left( A_x - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right).
\]

Then
\[
A_x = \frac{1}{1 + \phi\left( \frac{n_0 + 1 - \xi}{\xi - n_0} \right)} \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) + \phi\left( \frac{n_0 + 1 - \xi}{\xi - n_0} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1))
\].

We get that
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \leq A_x \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)).
\]

Lemma 2.3 implies that $B_x = y(0) \geq 0$. Furthermore, one has from (11) that
\[
y(n_0 + 1) = B_x + \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \phi^{-1}\left( A_x - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right)
\]
\[
\geq \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \phi^{-1}\left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \phi^{-1}\left( \sum_{j=i}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right).
\]

On the other hand, we have similarly to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 that there exist constants $\overline{A}_x = \phi(\Delta y(N + 1))$ and $\overline{B}_x = y(N + 2)$ such that
\[
\Delta y(n) = \phi^{-1}\left( \overline{A}_x + \sum_{j=n}^{N} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right)
\]
and
\[
y(n) = \overline{B}_x - \sum_{i=n}^{N+1} \phi^{-1}\left( \overline{A}_x + \sum_{j=i}^{N} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right)
\].
It follows that
\[ \Delta y(n_0) = \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A_x} + \sum_{i=n_0}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right) > 0, \]
\[ \Delta x(n_0 + 1) = \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A_x} + \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right) \leq 0. \]

So
\[ \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A_x} + \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right) = - \frac{n_0 + 1 - \xi}{\xi - n_0} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A_x} + \sum_{i=n_0}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right). \]

Then
\[ \overline{A_x} = - \frac{1}{1 + \phi \left( \frac{n_0 + 1 - \xi}{\xi - n_0} \right)} \left( \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right. \]
\[ + \phi \left( \frac{n_0 + 1 - \xi}{\xi - n_0} \right) \sum_{i=n_0}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \right) \]

We get that
\[ - \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)) \]
\[ \geq \overline{A_x} \geq - \sum_{i=n_0}^{N} f(i, x(i+1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i+1)). \]

One sees from Lemma 2.3 that \( \overline{B_x} = x(N + 2) \geq 0. \) Hence
\[ y(n_0 + 1) = \overline{B_x} - \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A_x} + \sum_{j=i}^{N} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=i}^{N} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right. \]
\[ \left. - \sum_{j=i}^{N} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=i}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right). \]
Hence
\[
\max_{n \in [0, N+2]} y(n) = y(n_0 + 1)
\geq \max \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right), \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \right\}.
\]

Define the operator \( T : P \to X \) by
\[
(Tx)(n) = B_x + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j)) \right), \quad n \in [0, N+2]
\]
for \( x \in P \), where \( A_x \) satisfies
\[
\frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s)) \right) \right) + A
\]
+ \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s+1)) \right)

\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) - B = 0
\]
and \( B_x \) satisfies
\[
B_x = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s)) \right) + A \right).
\]

**Lemma 2.7.** Suppose that \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) hold. Then
(i) \( T x \) satisfies the followings:
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta[\phi(\Delta(Tx)(n))] + f(n, x(n+1), \Delta x(n+1), \Delta x(n+1)) &= 0, \\
(Tx)(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (Tx)(n_i) &= A, \\
(Tx)(N+2) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i (Tx)(n_i) &= B;
\end{align*}
\]
(ii) \( Tx \in P \) for each \( x \in P \); 
(iii) \( x \) is a solution of BVP(4) if and only if \( x \) is a solution of the operator equation \( x = Tx \); 
(iv) \( T : P \to P \) is completely continuous.

**Proof.** (i) By the definition of \( Tx \), we get (12).
(ii) Note the definition of \( P \). Since \((H_1), (H_2)\) and \((H_3)\) hold for \( x \in P \), (12), Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 imply that \( \Delta(Tx)(n) \) is decreasing on
[0, N + 1] and \((Tx)(n) \geq \sigma \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} (Tx)(n)\) for all \(n \in [0, N + 2]\). It follows that \(Tx \in P\).

(iii) It is easy to see from (12) that \(x\) is a solution of BVP(4) if and only if \(x\) is a solution of the operator equation \(x = Tx\).

(iv) It suffices to prove that \(T\) is continuous on \(P\) and \(T\) is relative compact. We divide the proof into three steps:

**Step 1.** For each bounded subset \(D \subset P\), prove that \(\{(A_x, B_x) : x \in D\}\) is bounded in \(\mathbb{R}^2\).

Denote

\[
L_1 = \max \left\{ \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} |x(n)|, \max_{n \in [0, N + 1]} |\Delta x(n)| : x \in D \right\}
\]

and

\[
L_2 = \max_{j \in [0, N]} f_{L_1}(j) = \max_{j \in [0, N]} \max_{|x|, |y|, |w| \leq L_1} |f(j, x, y, w)|.
\]

It follows from (11) in the proof of Lemma 2.6 that

\[
0 \leq A_x \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \leq (N + 1)L_2.
\]

Then

\[
|B_x| = \left| \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right) \right|
\]

\[
+ \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} \left( (N + 1)L_2 + iL_2 \right) + \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i}.\]

Hence \(\{(A_x, B_x) : x \in D\}\) is bounded in \(\mathbb{R}^2\).

**Step 2.** For each bounded subset \(D \subset P\), and each \(x_0 \in D\), prove that \(T\) is continuous at \(x_0\).

For \(x_0 \in D\) and \(x_n \in D\) with \(x_n \rightarrow x_0 (n \rightarrow +\infty)\) in \(D\). Denote \(u_n(k) = (Tx_n)(k), u_0(k) = (Tx_0)(k)\) for all \(k \in [0, N + 2]\). We prove that \(T\) is continuous at \(x_0\), i.e., \(u_n \rightarrow u_0 (n \rightarrow +\infty)\). Let \(A_{x_0}, B_{x_0}\) be defined by

\[
1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{j=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_{x_0} - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right) + A
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_{x_0} - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right)
\]
It is easy to see that
\[ A_{x_0} - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) = B = 0 \]
and \( B_\alpha \) satisfies
\[ B_{x_0} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_{x_0} - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) + A \right) \right). \]

First, we prove that \( A_x, B_x \) are continuous in \( x \), i.e.,
\[ (A_{x_n}, B_{x_n}) \to (A_{x_0}, B_{x_0}), \ n \to +\infty. \]

It follows from Step 1 that \( (A_{x_n}, B_{x_n}) \) is bounded. Without loss of generality, suppose that \( (A_{x_n}, B_{x_n}) \to (\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \neq (A_{x_0}, B_{x_0}). \)

It is easy to see that
\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{n \to +\infty} u_n(k) &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left[ B_{x_n} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_{x_n} - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x_n(j+1), \Delta x_n(j), \Delta x_n(j+1)) \right) \right] \\
&= \overline{B} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A} - \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x_n(j+1), \Delta x_n(j), \Delta x_n(j+1)) \right) \\
&= \overline{B} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A} - \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x_0(j+1), \Delta x_0(j), \Delta x_0(j+1)) \right) \\
&= \overline{\pi}(k).
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to see that \( \overline{\pi} \) satisfies
\[ \overline{\pi}(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \overline{\pi}(n_i) = A, \ \overline{\pi}(N + 2) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \overline{\pi}(n_i) = B. \]

So
\[
\begin{align*}
1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i &\left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A} - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) + A \right) \\
+ \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A} - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A} - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s+1)) \right) - B = 0
\end{align*}
\]
and $B_x$ satisfies
\[
\overline{B} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \overline{A} - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s+1), \Delta x(s)) \right) + A \right).
\]

It follows from the proof of Step 1 in Lemma 2.2 that $\overline{A} = A_{x_0}$, then $\overline{B} = B_{x_0}$.

Hence
\[
(A_{x_n}, B_{x_n}) \rightarrow (A, B) = (A_{x_0}, B_{x_0}), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.
\]

It follows that
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T x_n = T x_0.
\]

This implies that $T$ is continuous at $x_0$.

**Step 3.** For each bounded subset $D \subset P$, prove that $T$ is relative compact on $D$.

In fact, for each bounded subset $\Omega \subseteq D$, and $x \in \Omega$. Suppose
\[
||x|| = \max \left\{ \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} |x(n)|, \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} |\Delta x(n)| \right\} < M_1
\]
and Step 1 implies that there exist constants $M_2 > 0$ such that $|A_x|, |B_x| < M_2$.

Then
\[
|(Tx)(n)| = \left| B_x + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j)) \right) \right|
\]
\[
\leq M_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( M_2 + \sum_{j=i}^{N} |f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j))| \right)
\]
\[
\leq M_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( M_2 + \sum_{j=i}^{N} f_{M_1}(j) \right)
\]
\[
=: M_3,
\]

where $f_{M_1}(j) = \max_{|x| \leq M_1, |y| \leq M_1, |w| \leq M_1} |f(j, x, y, w)|$. Similarly, one has that
\[
|\Delta(Tx)(n)| = \phi^{-1} \left( A_x + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j)) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \phi^{-1} \left( M_2 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j))| \right)
\]
To apply Lemma 2.1, we prove that all conditions in Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. By the definitions, it is easy to see that

\[ \frac{1}{M} = \frac{\phi(L_2)}{2N + 2}, \]

\[ M_2 = \phi \left( \frac{r_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j}{A} \right), \]

\[ M_1 = \phi \left( \frac{r_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j}{A} \right), \]

\[ O = \sigma_0 \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N+2,k} \phi^{-1}(i - [(N + 2)/2] - 1), \sum_{i=k}^{[(N+2)/2]} \phi^{-1}((N + 2)/2 - i+) \right\}, \]

\[ M_3 = \phi \left( \frac{b}{O} \right). \]

**Theorem L.** Suppose that \((H_1), (H_2), (H_3)\) hold. Choose \(k\) satisfying \(0 < k < 1\) and denote \(\sigma_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{k}{N + 2}, \frac{N + 2 - k}{N} \right\} \). Furthermore, there exist positive constants \(r_1, r_2, L_1, L_2\) and \(b\) such that

\[ r_2 > d = \frac{b}{\sigma_0} > b > r_1 > \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i}, \quad L_2 > L_1. \]

Let \(M_1, M_2, N_1, N_2, M_3\) be defined above. If \(M_3 < \min\{M_2, N_2\} \) and

\( (A_1) \) \( f(n, u, v, w) < \min\{M_1, N_1\} \) for all \( n \in [0, N], u \in [0, r_1], w, v \in [-L_1, L_1]; \)

\( (A_2) \) \( f(n, u, v, w) > M_3 \) for all \( n \in [k, N + 2 - k], u \in [b, b/\sigma_0], w, v \in [-L_2, L_2]; \)

\( (A_3) \) \( f(n, u, v, w) \leq \min\{M_2, N_2\} \) for all \( n \in [0, N], u \in [0, r_2], w, v \in [-L_2, L_2]; \)

then BV(3) has at least three positive solutions \(x_1, x_2, x_3\) such that

(i) \( x_1 \) satisfies \( \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} x_1(n) < r_1 \) and \( \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} |\Delta x_1(n)| < L_1; \)

(ii) \( x_2 \) satisfies \( b \leq \min_{n \in [k, N+2-k]} x_2(n) < \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} x_2(n) \leq r_2 \) and \( \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} |\Delta x_2(n)| \leq L_2; \)

(iii) \( x_3 \) satisfies \( \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} x_3(n) \leq r_2, \) \( \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} |\Delta x_3(n)| \leq L_2, \)

\( \min_{n \in [k, N+2-k]} x_3(n) < b, \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} x_3(n) > r_1, \) and \( \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} |\Delta x_3(n)| > L_1. \)

**Proof.** To apply Lemma 2.1, we prove that all conditions in Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. By the definitions, it is easy to see that \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are two nonnegative
continuous convex functionals on the cone $P$ satisfying (a) and (b), $\psi$ is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on the cone $P$ with $\psi(x) \leq \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in P$. Lemma 2.7 implies that $x = x(n)$ is a solution of BVP(3) if and only if $x$ is a solution of the operator equation $x = Tx$ and $T : P \to P$ is completely continuous.

Now, we prove all conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold.

**Step 1.** Prove that $TP(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2) \subseteq P(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2)$.

For $x \in P(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2)$, we get $x \in P$, $\alpha(x) \leq r_2$ and $\beta(x) \leq L_2$. Then

$$0 \leq x(n) \leq r_2, n \in [0, N + 2]; \quad |\Delta x(n)| \leq L_2$$

(A3) implies that $f(n, x(n + 1), \Delta x(n), \Delta x(n + 1)) \leq \min\{M_2, N_2\}$.

Since $A_x \in \left[0, \sum_{i=0}^{N} f(i, x(i + 1), \Delta x(i), \Delta x(i + 1))\right]$, given by (10) in the proof of Lemma 2.6, and (A3) holds, we get

$$\alpha(Tx) = \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} |Tx(n)|$$

$$= \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} \left( B_x + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left(A_x - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1))\right) \right)$$

$$\leq \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( A_x - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right)$$

$$\leq \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right)$$

$$= \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{N} f(s, x(s + 1), \Delta x(s), \Delta x(s + 1)) \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right)$$
\[
\begin{align*}
\leq & \max_{n \in \{0, N+2\}} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{i=1}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} (N - i + 1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} (N - i + 1) \right) - 1 \right) \phi^{-1}(M_2) \\
& + \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \\
= & \left( \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi^{-1} (N - i + 1) + \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} (N - i + 1) \right) \phi^{-1}(M_2) \\
& + \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \\
= & r_2,
\end{align*}
\]

and
\[
\beta(Tx) = \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} |\Delta(Tx)(n)|
\]
\[
= \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} \phi^{-1} \left( A - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \\
+ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right)
\]
\[
= \max_{n \in [0, N+1]} \phi^{-1} \left( 2 \sum_{j=0}^{N} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right)
\].

So \(Tx \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2)\). It follows that \(T\mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2)\). These completes Step 1.

**Step 2.** Prove that \((C_2)\) in Lemma 2.1 holds. For each \(y \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_1; \beta, L_1)\), we prove that \(\alpha(Ty) < r_1\) and \(\beta(Ty) < L_1\) by using \((A_1)\). The proof is similar to above discussion and is omitted.

**Step 3.** Prove that \((C_1)\) in Lemma 2.1 holds. We prove that
\[\{y \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, d; \beta, L_2; \psi, b) | \psi(y) > b\} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(Ty) > b\]
for every \(y \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, d; \beta, L_2; \psi, b)\);

Choose \(x(n) = b/(2\sigma_0) = d/2\) for all \(n \in [0, N+2]\). It is easy to see that
\[\alpha(x) = \frac{b}{2\sigma_0} < d, \quad \beta(x) = 0 \leq L_2, \quad \psi(x) = \frac{b}{2\sigma_0} > b\]
Then $x \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b)$ and $\psi(Tx) > b$. Hence

$$\{ y \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b) \mid \psi(y) > b \} \neq \emptyset.$$  

For $x \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, d; \beta, L_2; \psi, b)$, one sees that

$$|\Delta x(n)| \leq L_2, \; n \in [0, N + 1]; \; b \leq x(n) \leq \frac{b}{\sigma_0}, \; n \in [k, N + 2 - k].$$  

It follows from (A2) that

$$f(n, x(n + 1), \Delta x(n), \Delta x(n + 1)) > M_2, \; n \in [k, N + 2 - k].$$  

Similarly to Lemma 2.8 that there exists $n_0 \in [k, N - k]$ such that $\Delta x(n_0) \geq 0$ and $\Delta x(n_0 + 1) \leq 0$ and

$$\max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} |(Tx)(n)| = \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} (Tx)(n) = (Tx)(n_0 + 1) \geq \max \{(Tx)(n_0), (Tx)(n_0 + 2)\},$$

we get from (7), (H1) and (H2), Lemma 2.8 that

$$\psi(Tx) = \min_{n \in [k, N + 2 - k]} |(Tx)(n)| \geq \sigma_0 \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} |(Tx)(n)| \geq \sigma_0 (Tx)(n_0)$$

$$= \sigma_0 \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right).$$

Similarly, we get

$$\psi(Tx) = \min_{n \in [k, N + 2 - k]} |(Tx)(n)| \geq \sigma_0 \max_{n \in [0, N + 2]} |(Tx)(n)| \geq \sigma_0 (Tx)(n_0 + 2)$$

$$= \sigma_0 \sum_{i=n_0+2}^{N+2} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right).$$

Then

$$\psi(Tx) \geq \sigma_0 \max \left\{ \sum_{i=n_0+2}^{N+2} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right), \right.$$  

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right) \right\},$$

$$\sigma_0 \max \left\{ \sum_{i=n_0+2}^{N+2-2k} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{i-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right), \right.$$  

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n_0-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=k}^{n_0-1} f(j, x(j + 1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j + 1)) \right) \right\}.$$
Consider BVP(3), suppose that
\[ \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N+2-k} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \]
\[ \geq \sigma_0 \max \left\{ \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=i([(N + 2)/2] + 1]}^{i - 1} f(j, x(j+1), \Delta x(j), \Delta x(j+1)) \right) \right\} \]
\[ \geq \sigma_0 \max \left\{ \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N+2-k} (i - [(N + 2)/2] - 1) \right) \right\} \phi^{-1}(M_3) = b. \]
We get \( \psi(Tx) > b \). Step 3 is complete.

**Step 4.** Prove that \((C_3)\) in Lemma 2.1 holds. We prove that \( \psi(Ty) > b \) for \( y \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b) \) with \( \alpha(Ty) > \frac{b}{\sigma_0} \).
Suppose \( x \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b) \) with \( \alpha(Tx) > \frac{b}{\sigma_0} \). We get
\[ \psi(Tx) = \min_{n \in [N+2-k]} |(Tx)(n)| \geq \sigma_0 \max_{n \in [0, N+2]} |(Tx)(n)| = \sigma_0 \alpha(Tx) > \sigma_0 \frac{b}{\sigma_0} = b. \]
This completes Step 4.
It follows from Lemma 2.1 that BVP(3) has at least three positive solutions \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \) satisfying
\[ x_1 \in P(\alpha, \beta_1; \beta, L_1), \]
\[ x_2 \in \{ x \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b), \psi(x) > b \}, \]
\[ x_3 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2) \setminus \{ \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_2; \beta, L_2; \psi, b) \cup \mathcal{P}(\alpha, r_1; \beta, L_1) \}. \]
\[ \square \]

**Remark.** Consider BVP(3), suppose that
\[ (H_5)^* \quad 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} A - B < 0, \]
there exists a sequence \( q \) such that \( f(n, x, u, v) \geq q(n) \) for all \( n \in [0, N] \), \( x, u, v \in \mathbb{R} \) and
\[ \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \left[ \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \right) \sum_{s=1}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{N} q(s) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{N} q(s) \right) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \sum_{s=j}^{N+1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{s=j}^{N} q(s) \right) + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i A - B > 0 \]
A similar existence result to Theorem L can be obtained, we omit the details.
3. An example

In this section, we present an example to illustrate the main results.

**Example 3.1.** Consider the following BVP

$$\begin{align*}
\Delta \left( |\Delta x(n)|^2 \Delta x(n) \right) + f(n,x(n+1),\Delta x(n),\Delta x(n+1)) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 100, \\
x(0) &= \frac{1}{2} x'(20) = 2, \\
x(102) &= \frac{1}{3} x'(20) = 4.
\end{align*}$$

(13)

where $f(n,x,y,w)$ is continuous and positive for all $(n,x,y,w) \in [0,N] \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

Suppose that

\( S \) there exists a positive number \( q \) such that \( f(n,x,u,v) \geq q \) for all \( n \in [0,100] \), \( x \geq 0, u,v \in \mathbb{R} \) and

\[
\phi^{-1}(q) \left[ 4 \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{19} \phi^{-1}(j) \right) + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{101} \phi^{-1}(i) + \sum_{j=20}^{101} \phi^{-1}(j) \right] > 4.
\]

Corresponding to BVP(3), we have \( N = 100, m = 1, n_1 = 20, \alpha_1 = 1/2, \beta_1 = 1/3, A = 2, B = \frac{4}{3}, \phi(x) = |x|^2, \) with \( \phi^{-1}(x) = |x|^{-2/3} \). It is easy to see that \( (H_1), (H_2) \) hold and \( (H_3) \) hold if \( (S) \) is valid.

Choose the constant \( k = 4 \), then \( \sigma_0 = \min\left\{ \frac{1}{10^2}, \frac{98}{10^2} \right\} = \frac{1}{10^2}, r_1 = 100, r_2 = 540000, L_1 = 100, L_2 = 20000 \) and \( b = 180 \), then

\[
L_2 > L_1, \quad r_2 > b/\sigma_0 > b > r_1 > \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i}.
\]

One sees that

\[
Q = \max_{n \in [0,102]} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{19} \phi^{-1}(101-i)^{-2/3} + \sum_{i=0}^{101} \phi^{-1}(101-i) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{19} (101-i)^{-2/3} + \sum_{i=0}^{101} (101-i)^{-2/3},
\]

\[
M_2 = \frac{r_2^3}{Q^3}, \quad N_2 = \frac{L_2^3}{202}, \quad M_1 = \frac{r_1^3}{Q^3}, \quad N_1 = \frac{L_1^3}{202},
\]

\[
O = \sigma_0 \min \left\{ \sum_{i=52}^{98} (i-52)^{-2/3}, \sum_{i=4}^{51} (52-i)^{-2/3} \right\}, \quad M_3 = \frac{b^3}{O^3}.
\]

If \( q < M_3 < \min\{M_2, N_2\}, q < \min\{M_1, N_1\} \) and

\[
f(t,u,v,w) < \min\{M_1, N_1\} \text{ for all } t \in [0,100], u \in [0,100], v,w \in [-100,100];
\]

\[
f(t,u,v,w) > M_2 \text{ for all } t \in [4,98], u \in [1800, 450 \times 102], v,w \in [-20000, 20000];
\]

\[
f(t,u,v,w) \leq \min\{M_2, N_2\} \text{ for all } t \in [0,100], u \in [0,540000], v,w \in [-20000, 20000],
\]
then Theorem L implies that BVP(13) has at least three positive solutions such that

(i) $x_1$ satisfies $\max_{n\in[0,102]} x_1(n) < 100$, $\max_{n\in[0,101]} |\Delta x_1(n)| < 100$;
(ii) $x_2$ satisfies $180 < \min_{t\in[4,98]} x_2(t) < \max_{n\in[0,102]} x_2(n) < 540000$, $\max_{n\in[0,101]} |\Delta x_2(n)| < 20000$;
(iii) $x_3$ satisfies $\max_{n\in[0,102]} x(n) \leq 540000$, $\max_{n\in[0,101]} |\Delta x(n)| \leq 20000$, $\min_{n\in[4,98]} x_3(t) < 180$, $\max_{n\in[0,102]} x_3(n) > 100$, and $\max_{n\in[0,102]} |\Delta x_3(n)| > 100$.
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